Instruction	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions

Shared libraries for the seL4 Kernel

Andreas Werner

06.08.2015

- ★ 臣 ▶ - - 臣

Instruction 00	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
Outline				





- Analysis Shared Libraries
- 4 Shared libraries in seL4



Instruction ●○	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
Instruction				
Instruct	ion			

When a CERTAIN task is to preformed at several different place in a program, it is usually undesirable to repeat the codding in each place. To avoid this situation, the coding (called a subroutine) can be put into one place only, and a few extra instruction can be added to restart the outer program properly after the subroutine is finished. Transfer of control between subroutines and main programs is called subroutine linkage.

- Donald E. Knuth The Art of Computer Programming

Sharad	ibrariae			
Shared Libraries				
Instruction ○●	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions

Two Types of libraries exists:

- Static libraries: Linked at Compile Time (also known as AR Archives(.a Files))
- Shared Libraries: Linked by dynamic linker at runtime(also known as Shared Objects(.so Files) or dynamic linked libraries (.dll))

seL4 Kernel has actually no Shared Libraries

Instruction 00	Background ••••••	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
Executable and Linking I	Format (ELF)			

Executable and Linking Format (ELF)

- Developed by Tool Interface Standard Committee at 1993
- Defined in Tool Interface Standard (TIS) Executable and Linking Format (ELF) Specification
- Goal: Standard for Executable and Library
- GCC compiler standard output

Instructio	n Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
Executab	le and Linking Format (ELF)			
Stru	cture of a ELF file	e		
	Linking View		Execution View	
	ELF Heade	r 🛛	ELF Header]
	Program Header Tab	e optional	Program Header Table	_
	Section 1		Comment 1	
			Segment	
	Section n		Sogmont n	
			Segment n	
	Section Header	Table S	Section Header Table optional	
	Section n Section Header	Table	Segment n Section Header Table optional	-

- Relocatable Files(.o Files): Object Files for Linking
- Program Files: Executable Files or Shared Librarys

∃ >

Instruction	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
	000000			
Executable and Linking F	Format (ELF)			

ELF Header + Program Header Table

ELF Header contains:

- Type of File: Relocatable, Executable, Shared object file, ...
- Machine type: x86, ARM, ...
- Version
- Entry: Entrypoint for executable files
- Offsets of the Program Header Table and Section Header Table
- Size of ELF File

Program Header Table contains:

- Load Instructions
- Interpreter String
- Dynamic linking information
- Debug Information

Instruction	Background 000000	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions		
Executable and Linking Format (ELF)						
Shared	library					

- Special Executable Files
- Global Offset Table(GOT) Section: contains the absolute addresses of the symbols
- procedure linkage table(PLT) Section: contain small routine to call the symbol

Instruction 00	Background 0000●0	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
Executable and Li	nking Format (ELF)			
Dynami	ic Linker			

- Interpreter Program called(/ lib / ld .so or / lib / ld linux.so.2) instead of the original program
- Load executable memory segments.
- Load or Map Shared Library.
- Perform relocation(witting GOT entry) of executable.
- Start application.

Instruction 00	Background ○○○○○●	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
seL4				
seL4				

- open source end-to-end proof of implementation correctness and security enforcement microkernel.
- developed by National Information Communications Technology Australia (NICTA) and General Dynamics Mission Systems
- based on L4 specification by Jochen Liedtke
- kernel implements
 - scheduling
 - minimal virtual memory mangement
 - interrupt handling
 - inter process communication
 - capabilities based right management

Instruction 00	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
Playground				
Playorou	nd			

- one Version Static Linked(Standart)
- one Version with Shared Libraries(not working correctly)
- simple root task
 - Memory Management
 - Task Creation
 - IPC Communication
- simple application
 - Memory Management
 - IPC Communication
- Test on selfmade ARM Cortex -A5 with VF610 and on Phytec phyCORE®-Vybrid

ງະ

Instruction 00	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
Memory consumption				

Statically Linked

File	Library	Size
crtstuff.o	libc	80
common.o	libsel4platsupport	1272
libc_start_main.o	libc	508
assert.o	libc	64
exit.o	libc	98
main.o	Application	1004
offset + padding		32772
	Sum	121980



æ

Instruction 00	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
Memory consumption				

Dynamically Linked

File	Library	Size
crtstuff.o	libc	80
cpio.o	libcpio	896
main.o	Application	1004
Data	Data	4
ROData	Data	280
dynsym		509
dynstr		614
rel.plt		104
plt		176
dynamic		264
got		64
offset + padding		33585
	Sum	37476

æ

□▶★□▶★□▶

Instr	uctio	
00		

Background

Analysis Shared Libraries

Shared libraries in seL4

Conclusions

Memory consumption

Memory Consume of Shared Libraries

Library	Size
libc.so	599,36k
libsel4allocman.so	73,60k
libsel4platsupport.so	39,90k
libsel4.so	32,69k
libsel4muslcsys.so	85,20k
libsel4allocman.so	73,60k
libsel4simple.so	35,89k
libelf.so	39,58k
libplatsupport.so	52,07k
libsel4vspace.so	34,35k
libutils.so	35,05k
Sum	1108,23k

Image: Image:

ヨト・モト

Instruction	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
			00000	
Approaches				

Approaches for Dynamic Loader

Tow Approaches for Dynamic Loader:

- rewrite *libmuslcs* Dynamic Loader (actual Linux Loader)
- integrate in libsel4util

Use second Approaches for Architecture

Instruction 00	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4 ○●○○○○	Conclusions
Architecture				
Architec	ture			



æ

Instruction 00	Background 000000	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
Client Requests				
Client F	Requests			

- get capability: Get capability to map a specific Shared Library
- add a new Library: Add a new Library to server
- remove Task: Remove a Task from the access to a Shared Library

Instruction 00	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
Client Requests				

Load exists Shared Library



Instruction 00	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions
Client Requests				

Load new Shared Library



∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Instruction	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions	
			000000		
ossible Problems with this Architecture					

Possible Problems with this Architecture

- Server not started at start of root process.
- Possible Solutions:
 - root Task = Server
 - Interpreter like ELF Sysem (new Problems: no Filessystem Driver in Kernel)
 - root Task is statically linked

Instruction 00	Background	Analysis Shared Libraries	Shared libraries in seL4	Conclusions

- Conclusions
 - Dynamic Linking provides big advantages but also big disadvantages
 - many processes -> highly recommended to use dynamic linking
 - hardware error in RAM: all Program corrupted that used library
 - Tasks must highly trust in Server and loaded library
 - paper does not elaborate an implementation
 - implantation of a Dynamic Linker takes a lot of time
 - Implementation can use the code of libmusic or the GNU libc for some inspiration
 - Easter to implement with used of libelf

▶ < ∃ ▶