



NICTA Funding and Supporting Members and Partners



THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY











Australian Government

NICTA

Department of Broadband, Communications and the Digital Economy

Australian Research Council





THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND

Copyright Notice



These slides are distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License

- You are free:
 - to share—to copy, distribute and transmit the work
 - to remix-to adapt the work
- under the following conditions:
 - Attribution: You must attribute the work (but not in any way that suggests that the author endorses you or your use of the work) as follows:
 - "Courtesy of Gernot Heiser, [Institution]", where [Institution] is one of "UNSW" or "NICTA"

The complete license text can be found at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/legalcode







Multicore offsets stagnant per-core performance with proliferation of cores

Basic trend is unchanged







- Cache is fast (1–5 cycle access time) memory sitting between fast registers and slow RAM (10–100s cycles access time)
- Holds recently-used data or instructions to save memory accesses
- Matches slow RAM access time to CPU speed if high *hit rate* (> 90%)
- Is hardware maintained and (mostly) transparent to software
- Sizes range from few KiB to several MiB.
- Usually a hierarchy of caches (2-5 levels), on- and off-chip

Good overview of implications of caches for operating systems: [Schimmel 94]



Cache Organization



- Data transfer unit between registers and L1 cache: \leq 1 word (1–16B)
- Cache *line* is transfer unit between cache and RAM (or lower cache)
 - typically 16–32 bytes, sometimes 128 bytes and more
- Line is also unit of storage allocation in cache
- Each line has associated control info:
 - valid bit
 - modified bit
 - tag
- Cache improves memory access by:
 - absorbing most reads (increases bandwidth, reduces latency)
 - making writes asynchronous (hides latency)
 - clustering reads and writes (hides latency)



Cache Access





- Virtually indexed: looked up by virtual address
 - operates concurrently with address translation
- Physically indexed: looked up by physical address
 - requires result of address translation
- Usually have hierarchy: L1 (closest to core), ... Ln (closest to RAM)
 - L1 may use virtual address, all others use physical only



Cache Indexing





- The *tag* is used to distinguish lines of set...
- Consists of high-order bits not used for indexing







- Address hashed to produce index of *line set*
- Associative lookup of line within set
- *n* lines per set: *n-way set-associative cache*
 - typically $n = 1 \dots 5$, some embedded processors use 32–64
 - n = 1 is called direct mapped
 - n = ∞ is called *fully associative* (unusual for I/D caches)
- Hashing must be simple (complex hardware is slow)
 - generally use least-significant bits of address (except L3 on recent x86)



Cache Indexing: Direct Mapped



















Cache Mapping



• Multiple memory locations map to the same cache line



- Locations mapping to cache set *i* are said to be of *colour i*
- *n-way* associative cache can hold *n lines* of the same colour
- Types of cache misses ("the four Cs"):
 - **Compulsory miss:** data cannot be in the cache (if infinite size)
 - first access (after flush)
 - Capacity miss: all cache entries are in use by other data
 - would not miss on infinite-size cache
 - **Conflict miss:** all lines of the correct colour are in use by other data
 - would not miss on fully-associative cache
 - **Coherence miss:** miss forced by hardware coherence protocol



Cache Replacement Policy



- Indexing (using address) points to specific line set
- On miss (all lines of set are valid): *replace* existing line
- Replacement strategy must be simple (hardware!)
 - dirty bit determines whether line must be written back
 - typical policies:





Cache Write Policy



- Treatment of store operations
 - write back: Stores only update cache; memory is updated once dirty line is replaced (flushed)
 - ✓ clusters writes
 - # memory inconsistent with cache
 - **#** unsuitable for most multi-processor designs
 - write through: stores update cache and memory immediately
 ☑ memory is always consistent with cache
 ¥ increased memory/bus traffic
- On store to a line not presently in cache (write miss):
 - write allocate: allocate a cache line and store there
 - typically requires reading line into cache first!
 - no allocate: store directly to memory, bypassing the cache
- Typical combinations:
 - write-back & write-allocate
 - write-through & no allocate



Cache Addressing Schemes



- For simplicity assumed so far that cache only sees one type of address: virtual or physical
- However, indexing and tagging can use different addresses!
- Four possible addressing schemes:
 - virtually-indexed, virtually-tagged (VV) cache
 - virtually-indexed, physically-tagged (VP) cache
 - physically-indexed, virtually-tagged (PV) cache
 - physically-indexed, physically-tagged (PP) cache
- PV caches can make sense only with unusual MMU designs
 - not considered any further



Virtually-Indexed, Virtually-Tagged Cache

VD

VD

VD

VD

- Also called virtually-addressed cache ٠
- Various incorrect names in use: •
 - virtual cache
 - virtual address cache
- Uses virtual addresses only ٠
- Can operate concurrently ٠ with MMU
- Still needs MMU lookup • for access rights
- Used for on-core L1 •





Virtually-Indexed, Physically-Tagged Cache

- Virtual address for accessing line (lookup)
- Physical address for tagging
- Needs complete address translation for looking up retrieving data
- Indexing concurrent with MMU use MMU output for tag check
- Used for on-core L1



Physical Memory





Physically-Indexed, Physically-Tagged Cache

- Only uses physical addresses
- Address translation result needed to begin lookup
- Only choice for Ln, n>1
- Note: page offset is invariant under address translation
 - if index bits are a *subset* of the offset bits, PP cache lookup doesn't need MMU result!
 - VP=PP in this case;
 fast and suitable for
 on-core use (L1)









Cache Issues



- Caches are managed by hardware transparently to software
 OS doesn't have to worry about them, right? Wrong!
- Software-visible cache effects:
 - performance
 - *homonyms*:
 - same address, different data
 - can affect correctness!
 - synonyms (aliases):
 - different address, same data
 - can affect correctness!





VD

VD

VD

VD

Tag

Tag

Tag

Tag

Virtually-Indexed Cache Issues

Homonyms – same name for different data:

- Problem: VA used for indexing is • context-dependent
 - same VA refers to different PAs
 - tag does not uniquely identify data!
 - wrong data may be accessed
 - an issue for most OSes
- Homonym prevention: •
 - flush cache on each context switch
 - force non-overlapping address-space layout
 - single-address-space OS
 - tag VA with address-space ID (ASID)
 - makes VAs global







VD

VD

VD

VD

Tag

Tag

Tag

Tag

Virtually-Indexed Cache Issues

Synonyms – multiple names for same data:

- Several VAs map to the same PA •
 - frame shared between ASs
 - frame multiply mapped within AS
- May access stale data! •
 - same data cached in multiple lines
 - on write, one synonym updated
 - read on other synonym returns old value
 - physical tags don't help!
 - ASIDs don't help!
- Are synonyms a problem? ۲
 - depends on page and cache size
 - no problem for R/O data or I-caches







Example: MIPS R4x00 Synonyms



- ASID-tagged, on-chip VP cache
 - 16 KiB cache, 2-way set associative, 32 B line size
 - 4 KiB (base) page size
 - size/associativity = 16/2 KiB = 8 KiB > page size
 - 16 KiB / (32 B/line) = 512 lines = 256 sets ⇒ 8 index bits (12..5)
 - overlap of tag bits and index bits, but from different addresses!



- Remember, index determines location of data in cache
 - tag only confirms hit
 - synonym problem iff $VA_{12} \neq VA'_{12}$
 - similar issues on other processors where L1 cache has multiple colours



Address Mismatch Problem: Aliasing





• Page aliased in different address spaces

 $- AS_1: VA_{12} = 1, AS_2: VA_{12} = 0$

- One alias gets modified
 - in a write-back cache, other alias sees stale data
 - lost-update problem



Address Mismatch Problem: Re-Mapping



- Unmap page with a dirty cache line
- Re-use (remap) frame for a different page (in same or different AS)
- Write to new page
 - without mismatch, new write will overwrite old (hits same cache line)
 - with mismatch, order can be reversed: "cache bomb"



DMA Consistency Problem





- DMA (normally) uses physical addresses and bypasses cache
 - CPU access inconsistent with device access
 - need to flush cache before device write
 - need to invalidate cache before device read



Avoiding Synonym Problems



- Flush cache on context switch
 - doesn't help for aliasing within address space!
- Detect synonyms and ensure:
 - all read-only, or
 - only one synonym mapped
- Restrict VM mapping so synonyms map to same cache set
 - eg on R4x00: ensure $VA_{12} = PA_{12}$ colour memory!
- Hardware synonym detection
 - e.g. Cortex A9: store overlapping tag bits of both addresses & check
 - "physically"-addressed





Summary: VV Caches



- ✓ Fastest (don't rely on TLB for retrieving data)
 - **#** still need TLB lookup for protection
 - **#** ... or alternative mechanism for providing protection
- **%** Suffer from synonyms and homonyms
 - **#** requires flushing on context switches
 - **#**makes context switches expensive
 - # may even be required on kernel \rightarrow user switch
 - ... or guarantee no synonyms and homonyms
- **#** Require TLB lookup for write-back!

COMP9242 S2/2014 W02 27

- Used on MC68040, i860, ARM7/ARM9/StrongARM/Xscale
- Used for I-caches on several other architectures (Alpha, Pentium 4)



Summary: Tagged VV Caches

NICTA

- Add ASID as part of tag
- On access, compare with CPU's ASID register
- Removes homonyms
 - ☑ potentially better context-switching performance
 - **#** ASID recycling still needs flush
- **#** Doesn't solve synonym problem (but that's less severe)
- Boesn't solve write-back problem



Summary: VP Caches



- Medium speed
 - $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ lookup in parallel with address translation
 - **#** tag comparison after address translation
- ☑ No homonym problem
- **#** Potential synonym problem
- Bigger tags (cannot leave off set-number bits)# increases area, latency, power consumption
- Used on many architectures for L1 cache



Summary: PP Caches



Slowest

requires result of address translation before lookup starts

- ☑ No synonym problem
- ✓ No homonym problem
- ✓ Easy to manage
- ✓ If small or highly associative index can be in parallel with translation
 - all index bits come from page offset
 - combines advantages of VV and PP cache
 - useful for on-core L1 cache (Itanium, recent x86)
- ✓ Cache can use *bus snooping* to receive/supply DMA data
- ☑ Usable as post-MMU cache with any architecture

For an in-depths coverage see [Wiggins 03]



Write Buffer



- Store operations can take a long time to complete
 - eg if a cache line must be read or allocated
- Can avoid stalling the CPU by buffering writes
- Write buffer is a FIFO queue of incomplete stores
 - also called store buffer or write-behind buffer
- Can also read intermediate values out of buffer
 - to service lead of a value that is still in write buffer
 - avoids unnecessary stalls of load operations
- Implies that memory contents are temporarily stale
 - on a multiprocessor, CPUs see different order of writes!
 - "weak store order", to be revisited in SMP context



Cache Hierarchy



- Hierarchy of caches to balance memory accesses:
 - small, fast, virtually-indexed L1
 - large, slow, physically indexed L2–L5
- Each level reduces and clusters traffic
- L1 typically split into I- and D-caches
 - "Harvard architecture"
 - requirement of pipelining
- Other levels tend to be unified
- Chip multiprocessors often share on-chip L2, L3





Sabre (Cortex A9) System Architecture







Translation Lookaside Buffer (TLB)

ASID

VPN

- TLB is a (VV) cache for page-table entries
- TLB can be
 - hardware loaded, transparent to OS
 - software loaded, maintained by OS
- TLB can be:
 - split: I- and D-TLBs
 - unified
- Modern architectures use a hierarchy
 - tiny (1-4 entries) L1 TLB
 - typically split, hardware loaded
 - larger (64-128 entries) L2 TLB
 - unified, hard- or software loaded



VPN

ASID



PFN

PFN



flags

flags

TLB Issues: Associativity



- First TLB (VAX-11/780 [Clark, Emer 85]) was 2-way associative
- Most modern architectures have fully-associative TLBs
- Exceptions:
 - Intel x86: 4-way
 - IBM RS/6000: 2-way
- Reasons:
 - modern architectures tend to support multiple page sizes
 - "superpages"
 - better utilises TLB entries
 - TLB lookup done without knowing the page's base address
 - set associativity loses speed advantage
- x86 uses separate L1 TLBs for each page size
 - 1 each I-TLB and D-TLB for 4 KiB and 2/4 MiB (4 L1 TLBs)
 - unified L2 TLB
 - all 4-way associative





Architecture	TLB Size	Page Size	TLB Coverage
	(I-ILB + d-ILB)		(base page)
VAX-11	64–256	0.5 KiB	32–128 KiB
ix86	32i + 64d	4 KiB + 4 MiB	128 KiB
MIPS	96–128	4 KiB – 16 MiB	384–512 KiB
SPARC	64	8 KiB – 4 MiB	512 KiB
Alpha	32–128i + 128d	8 KiB – 4 MiB	256 KiB
RS/6000	32i + 128d	4 KiB	256 KiB
Power-4 (G5)	128	4 KiB	512 KiB
PA-8000	96i + 96d	4 KiB – 64 MiB	384 KiB
Itanium	64i + 96d	4 KiB – 4 GiB	384 KiB
ARMv7 (A9)	64–128	4 KiB – 16 MiB	256–512 KiB
x86 (Nehalem)	L1:128i+64d; L2:256	4 KiB + 2/4 MiB	1 MiB

Not much growth in 30 years!



TLB Size (I-TLB + D-TLB)

TLB coverage

- Memory sizes are increasing
- Number of TLB entries are roughly constant
- Page sizes are steady
 - 4 KiB, although larger on SPARC, Alpha
 - OS designers have trouble using superpages effectively
- Consequences:
 - total amount of RAM mapped by TLB is not changing much
 - fraction of RAM mapped by TLB is shrinking dramatically!
 - Modern architectures have very low TLB coverage!
- Also, many 64-bit RISC architectures have software-loaded TLBs
 - general increase in TLB miss handling cost
- The TLB can become a bottleneck

