Agenda for today



- Groups!
- Any remaining problems for M0?

© Robert Kaiser, Hochschule RheinMain

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

э

Feedback: some questions (1)



- Describe the event paradigm, where is it typically used, what are its pros and cons?
- Why does it require only one stack for any number of possible events?
- In an event based kernel (i.e. distinct kernel / user spaces), how many distinct kernel stacks are required?
- Would an event based kernel be preemptible? What about user threads running on top of such a kernel? Would they be preemptible?

Feedback: some questions (2)



- Coroutines can yield(), yet they are not preemptive. Explain.
- In the coroutine approach, do we have to expect / consider race conditions?
- What signifies a critical section in a coroutine approach (i.e. what must be avoided in a c.s.)?
- What are callee saved registers? What defines them ?
- what are *green threads*? What is the effect of holding a lock in the context of green trheads?

Feedback: some questions (3)



- What data items need to be kept in a TCB?
- Could the entire stack of a thread be kept in the TCB as well?
- Can you think of any disadvantages of doing so?
- What items are being saved/restored where upon a thread switch in OS/161?

Feedback: some questions (4)



- Can threads be implemented in user space alone?
- What are the advantages and disadvantages of doing so?
- In contrast, what are the advantages / disadvantes of kernel level threads?
- How could a continuation be represented in C?

3.0

Feedback: some questions (5)



- What represents the state of a thread blocked in the kernel in a per-thread kernel stack process model?
- Is preemption of a kernel thread possible / allowed at <u>any</u> point in the kernel when using ...
 - a per-thread kernel stack process model?
 - a process model based on continuations?
 - a stateless kernel model?
- Which of these models use a single kernel stack?
- Explain the advantages and disadvantages of the single kernel stack approaches

A B > A B > A B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A

Feedback: some questions (6)



- Why are threads a bad idea (according to John Ousterhout) for most purposes?
- What would justify the use of threads nevertheless, i.e. which use case *can not* be handled by events?
- What are the problems typically encountered in thread programming as opposed to event-based programming?